GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Part B for April 43

SUBJECT

Re octroi duty on the parcel imported by the Officers Branch Ajmer

4856
L

Continuation Sheet ML File No / Current No 113 of 42

Letter No A4 7474 Dt 4-2 43 from the ~~ML~~ Bangalore Cy requesting orders in the matter of the levy of octroi duty on the sea Rabbits parcel imported by the Officer Charge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratory Bangalore.

1) In Govt No L 5095-97/ML 114-41-3 Dt 13-11-41 the samples representing the Ordnance Stores Deptt (Inspection Branch) Madras. Purchased by the Deptt of Supply from ~~two~~ firms & dispatched to Bangalore area ~~were inspected~~ at the Madras office ~~have~~ received back with the Report that the articles are exempted from payment of electricity under Proviso (d) to section 64 of the Mysore Electricity Act 1933

2) Submit for orders with references (3) The letters written by the CSI ICI. — Officer in charge Ordnance Laboratories referred to in the enclosure are not received Pl ~~return~~ return the original advice Dr. enquiry may also be made up the parcel is still kept undelivered with the octroi authorities of the Municipality

Sd/- I.D.

Subd. It is ascertained that the parcel containing the silk fabrics is still lying undelivered on the Octroi office.

2 A copy of the letter. The letter written by the officer incharge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratories, Bangs, obtained from the ML office is put with

Fly A 1118 Bgr 6 Encl. refers to the letter S/7/BOL dated 29/6/43 of the officer in charge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratories, Bangs wherein

Page 30 1/L Bgr 18 Bgr 18 Bgr which the use of silk fabric is required for conducting the inspection / testing at the Laboratory in view of the facts stated therein, the article has to be treated as are imported by the Govt of India

subject to the bylaws in force, good bonafide property of Govt at the time of import + accompanied by a Govt invoice certificate by the Departmental Head Concerned that the property declared therein belongs to Govt are exempted from duty, in the case the goods are sent from the Govt silk weaving factory + perhaps the Duty Govt has to certify that it belongs to Govt. This point will be explained with the

8 Pending further consideration of the question the sample of silk fabric which is still in the custody of the collector of Customs, Bangalore will be directed to be

released forthwith, as it is hoped to be required urgently for the ordnance Laboratory

Lawrence 18/2/43

9 May be filed and forgotten. Frequency meter, be made as per B

Mem. B. G 19-2-43

10) Many thanks

11) Does the condition about sound & vision receivers & cause to cause the Secretary difficulties in the Offices should be prompted the Branch advised how to deal with the matter more promptly

Submit with a draft letter for approval

19/2 1) Issue draft letter

2) Letter issued

3) Remember for 19-2-43

1) Issued No 28306 B 19-2-43

(2) Attended to

12) I know already called for explanation regarding non-receipt of letter yesterday

19-2-43

Mem.

19-2-43

from

Hoe

27-2-43

W

1-3-43

14) Held for orders

15) The explanation has been called for from the M of S and further steps will be taken on receipt

OFFICIAL REGISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 19 2 43 No 6726

OFFICIAL REGISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 18 2 43 No 6654

16) Any enquiry may be made of the parcel on question was or was not accompanied by a certificate to effect that the goods are bona fide property and are required for the purposes of that. The certificate should have been signed by the first Director of Industrial Services, Min of Supply & the rule case might be further

sorted with a draft letter for approval 6/3/43

WB 6/3 18) Issue advice

19) as proposed in the previous para

attended to by Mr Lee

For 6/3/43

To Min of Comm, Bdcy Rio Doc

No L 9012 dy 6 3-43

Calls for some further information

Letter No A4-7474/42 dy 17-3-42 from the Min Comm, Bdcy stating that no certificate was sent along with the parcel & that it was sent by letter dy 1st only after the letter was despatched to the post seeking instructions in the matter dealt with reference to para 16 supra

For A 17/3 CS keeping in

WB 21/3 If the parcel had been the time of import accompanied by the certificate in question issued by the Dir of Ind & Supply the parcel would not have been seized by the Customs authorities It is stated it have been dealt with the request that some delay was caused

Mr letter of the MI curr is for personal nor action is called for on the matter and

Separate action has been taken in regard to the delay in sending the original reply in question to the Govt, the reply from the MI curr has also been rec'd in that connection. It will be sub'd separately

Landreth for Gen Magruder

Jos Greenwald

Cus 22-3-33

Mr. Wm Cus 3 Separately sub'd 22-3 in Doc 5673 herein for action Returned herewith for 3/4/42 -

Marked 2 cs

OFFICE OF THE ASST R FO L S G P H
Date 24 3 1933
No 7899

Ltr 28-3 Cus Cus 29-3-33 Lmw

B 3/4

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

ME 113-42-78 4855

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A47474

Encl 1

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 4th February 194 3

FROM M Ramachandra Rao, Esq B A , I B , B S C P M ,
~~ABDUL AZIZ KHAN ESQ B.A.~~
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER in charge,
Bangalore City

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu Esq , B A , B L
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

Re - the levy of octroi duty on the Silk fabric parcel imported by the Officer in charge of the Branch Ordirance Laboratories, B lore

---

I have the honour to state that the Octroi Superintendent of this Municipality has reported (copy enclosed) that a parcel of Silk fabric was received in his office and deposited since the duty payable was not tendered on the ground that the parcel was not liable for any octroi duty as it was imported by the Ordirance Laboratories in Bangalore City which is alleged to belong to the Government of India Again a letter was received in the evening that Silk fabrics was on the purpose of conducting inspection and testing at the above said laboratory for Deference of India purposes and that it was not liable for any taxation A reply was sent to him immediately that the consignment cannot be allowed free of cost under the existing rules In accordance with the Mysore City Municipalities Act of 1933 no exemption is given from Octroi for dutiable articles of whatever description belonging to Government of India excepting the stores imported by the Stores Department (Inspection Branch) Madras In this connection I have to state that the Government Order No L 5095-97/M1 114-41-10 dated 13th December 1941 is to the effect that exemption is given during war period to the stores imported by the said Department subject to the condition that the packages or parcels received in the City Municipal limits should bear the seal of the said department and as such the above order is not applicable to the present

case and that no exemption can be given for the consignment in question The orders of Government are solicited in the matter

I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

M Ramachandra

Municipal Commissioner in charge

mlt

Letter No O 3 Gl 1549 dated 30-1-1943 from the Octroi Superintendent, City Municipality Bangalore to the Municipal Commissioner City Municipality Bangalore City

---

Reference - Letter No G/7/30L dated 28-1-1943 from the Officer-in-charge of the Branch Ordinance - Laboratories, regarding the delivery of a Silk - Fabric Parcel imported by him free of Octroi duty

---

parcel of Silk Fabric was received in this office on 28-1-1943 and deposited since the duty payable thereon was not tendered on the ground that the said parcel was not liable to Octroi duty as it was imported by the Ordinance Laboratories in Bangalore City which is alleged to belong to Government of India Thereupon, a letter was received last evening to the effect that the Fabric in question was for the purpose of conducting inspection and testing at the above Laboratories for Defence of India purposes and that it was not liable to taxation etc A reply was immediately sent setting forth the grounds on which that the consignment could not be allowed free of duty Both the letter and the copy of the reply are herewith enclosed for kind perusal and consideration

In this connection the undersigned begs to state that under the Mysore City Municipalities Act of 1933 no exemption is given from Octroi for dutiable articles of whatever description belonging to the Government of India excepting the Stores imported by the Stores Department (Inspection Branch) Madras The Government Order No L 5095-97/ 1 114-41-10 dated 13th December 1941 in this connection is to the effect that exemption is given during the period of War to the stores imported by the said Department subject to the condition that the packages or parcels received in the City Municipal limits should bear the seal of the said Department

I am of opinion that the above order is not applicable to the present case and that no exemption can be given for the consignment in question unless separate orders are passed in this behalf by the Government

In a similar case exemption from Octroi was claimed by the

Cordite Factory Wilgiris but the same was rejected by the Commissioner and the Factory ultimately paid the Octroi duty on the consignment imported by them

Since the Officer-in-charge of the Ordinance Laboratories states that the parcel in question is required for Defence of India purposes kind instructions are solicited at an early date whether the said parcel is to be delivered free of Octroi duty

---

Copy

for Municipal Commissioner
in charge

mlt

Office of the Municipal Commissioner,

Dated Bangalore 17th Febr *1943*

Sir,

As desired by you on phone , I herewith enclose , the letter No G 7/BOL, dated 29-1-43, sent by the Officer -in-Charge, Branch Ordnance Laboratories, Mysore Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore City The letter may kindly be returned when done with

Yours Sincerely,

G. Seetharamiah, Esq ,B A
Superintendent
Local Self Government
Bangalore City

INDIAN ARMY ORDNANCE CORPS

Telegrams
"SILAB"

G/7/BOL
Branch Ordnance Laboratories,
Mysore Chamber of Commerce,
Bangalore City, 29-1-1943

Superintendent,
City Octroi Office,
Bangalore City

A sample roll of Silk Fabric sent to our address Railway Percel by the Government Silk Weaving Factory, Mysore was taken delivery at the City Railway Station yesterday afternoon and the same has ben held up by your office for levying duty thereon

2 Since this roll of Silk fabric is only a Test Sample meant for conducting inspection and testing at our laboratories for Defence of India purposes, and is not liable for taxation pleases release the same immediately and hand it over to the bearer of this letter as it is urgently required The receipt granted for the material held up is enclosed herewith

SD. Lieut
Officer i/c Branch Ordance Labs

"Copy" 29/1/43

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section ML

File No 113-42

Serial No 61

Diary No of letter replied to gsr

Do No 6 8306

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No A4 7474 Dy 4-2 43 re the levy of action duty on the silk fabric parcel imported by the Officer in charge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratories, Bangalore, I am desired to state that pending the orders of Govt in the matter arrangements may be made for the immediate delivery of the parcel on execution of bond to the satisfaction of the Officer in charge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratories.

Date of issue 19/2/43

Signed by MV GR Rao

List of enclosures

Yours sincerely

Sd

Codied by

Examined by obtained from your office

To The ML Commr, Bgly 19/2/43

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

19/2

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 11342

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 9092

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

To

The ml Commr, Bezp, Hyd

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No L 8306/ml 113-42-81 Dy 19-2-43 re the levy of action duty on the silk fabric parcel imported by the officer in charge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratories, Bangalore, I am directed to request you ~~to be so good as~~ to state if the parcel in question was or was not accompanied by a certificate to the effect that

Date of issue 6/3

Signed by

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

Signed by the Director of Industrial Cultures

M/C4161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

the goods are bonafide Govt property and are required for the purposes of Govt

I am to add that the certificate should have been signed by the Govt Director of Industrial Concerns, Bangalore

The favour of an early reply is requested

I have etc

for

Dy Secy to Govt

6/3 Law Deptt

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L9012 — —

Bangalore dated 6th March 1943

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City
through the President, Bangalore City
Municipal Council

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No L 8306/M1 113-42-81 dated 19th February 1943 regarding the levy of octroi duty on the silk Fabric parcel imported by the officer in charge of the Branch Ordnance Laboratories, Bangalore, I am directed to request you to state if the parcel in - question was or was not accompanied by a certificate signed by the Government Director of Industrial Concerns to the effect that the goods are bonafide Government property and are required for the purposes of Government

The favour of an early reply is requested

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Law Department

mk2

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A4/7474/42

Encl

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 17th March 1943

GEN 1 L 5665 9/C

FROM P Suryanarayana Setti, Esq B A LL B
~~ABDULKAZIZ KHAN ESQ I C S~~
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

To

19/3/43 Narayana Swamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 9012/I 1 113-42 - dated 6th March 1943 enquiring whether the parcel in question was or was not accompanied by a certificate signed by the Government Director of Industrial Concerns to the effect that the goods, are bonafide Government property and required for the purposes of Government, I have the honour to state that no certificate was sent along with the parcel It was sent by the Director of Industries & Commerce only after the letter was despatched to the Government, seeking instructions in the matter

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

warded with compliments

V K Ramdas

T Suryanarayana Setty
Municipal Commissioner